UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX					USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILEI		
NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INC. -v- VITALIZE LABS, LLC			S INTERNATIONAL, Plaintiff(s),	: : : : : : : : : X	DOC #: DATE FILED: 09/03/2019 19 Civ. 5354 (LGS)		
			Defendant(s).		CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER		
LORN	A G. S	CHOFIELD, Unite	ed States District Judge:				
Civ. P.	This C	•	ment Plan is submitted b	y the pa	arties in accordance with Fed. R.		
1.	procee U.S.C	edings before a Uni . § 636(c). The par	ties are free to withhold	dge, ind consen	conducting all further cluding motions and trial. See 28 t without adverse substantive agraphs need not be completed.]		
2.	The pa	arties [have XX	/ have not] cor	nferred p	oursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).		
3.	This case is governed by one of the following sets of rules, and the parties' proposed dates in this order have been adjusted accordingly.						
	a. An employment case governed by the Initial Discovery Protocols for Employment cases? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/cases/show.php?db=judge_info&id=713. [Yes / No XX]						
	b.	A case governed by Local Civil Rule 83.10, Plan for Certain § 1983 Cases Against the City of New York? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/docs/mediation/Local%20Civil%20Rule%2083.10. Final.pdf . [Yes / No XX]					
	c.	http://www.nysd.	uscourts.gov/rules/Stand	ling Or	nd the Court's Individual Rules? der In re Local Patent Rules.p ge_info&id=1491		

	d.	A wage and hour case governed by Initial Discovery Protocols for Fair Labor Standards Act?
		http://nysd.uscourts.gov/cases/show.php?db=judge_info&id=1492 [Yes /
		No XX
l.	Alte	rnative Dispute Resolution/Settlement
	a.	Settlement discussions [have XX/ have not] taken place.
	b.	Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement and have agreed to exchange the following: Documents from Bactolac Pharmaceutical Inc.
	c.	Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms for use in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and (iii) retention of a private mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case: Settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge
	d.	Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 4(c) be employed at the following point in the case (<i>e.g.</i> , within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery): After the close of fact discovery
	e.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.

- 5. No additional parties may be joined after October 30, 2019 without leave of Court.
- 6. Amended pleadings may be filed without leave of Court until October 30, 2019.
- 7. Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than <u>14</u> days from the date of this Order. [Within 14 days of the parties' Rule 26(f) conference, absent exceptional circumstances.]

8.	Fact Discovery				
	a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than <u>December 20, 2019</u> . [A period not to exceed 120 days, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]			
	b.	Initial requests for production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 shall be served by September 26, 2019.			
	c.	Responsive documents shall be produced by October 25, 2019. Do the parties anticipate e-discovery? [Yes/ No_XX]			
	d.	Interrogatories pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 shall be served by <u>September 26, 2019</u> .			
	e.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31 shall be completed by <u>December 20, 2019</u> .			
	f.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served by November 8, 2019.			
	g.	Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 8(b) through 8(f) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that			

all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 8(a).

If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), all expert discovery shall

[Within 45 days from the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery, absent exceptional circumstances.] Omit if you have not identified

If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), by_____[no later

than one month before the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery] the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including reports, production of underlying documents and depositions, provided that (i) expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (ii) all expert discovery shall be

9.

a.

b.

c.

10.

Expert Discovery [if applicable]

To be determined

types of experts.

Anticipated types of experts if any:

be completed no later than_____

completed by the date set forth in paragraph 9(b).

This case [is XX___/ is not____] to be tried to a jury.

Counsel for the parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial

11.

	is three days.
12.	Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below:
13.	Status Letters and Conferences
	November 4, 2019

- a. By November 25, 2019 [60 days after the commencement of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a joint status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2.
- b. By January 3, 2019 [14 days after the close of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a joint status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2 and, in the event that they have not already been referred for settlement discussions, shall also advise the Court whether or not they request a referral for settlement discussions as provided in Paragraph 4(c) above.
- - i. A party wishing to file a summary judgment or other dispositive motion shall file a pre-motion letter at least two weeks before the conference and in the form provided in the Court's Individual Rule III.A.1. Any party wishing to oppose shall file a responsive letter as provided in the same Individual Rule. The motion will be discussed at the conference.
 - ii. If no pre-motion letter is timely filed, this conference will be canceled and the matter placed on the Court's trial-ready calendar. The parties will be notified of the assigned trial-ready date and the filing deadlines for pretrial submissions. The parties are warned that any settlement discussions will not stay pretrial deadlines or the trial date.

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) or by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein, except as provided in paragraph 8(f), shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and shall be made no less than 2 business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the dates under paragraphs 5, 6, 8(a), 9(b)-(c) and 13(a)-(c) into the Court's calendar.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 3, 2019

New York, New York

LORNA G. SCHOFIELD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Counsel for the Parties:

Richard J. Oparil PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN PC 1200 New Hampshire Ave. NW, Suite 710 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 517-1888 Rjoparil@pbnlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Natural Alternatives International, Inc.

Anthony Andrew LoPresti LOPRESTI, PLLC 134 Spring Street, Ste. 502 New York, NY 10012 212-757-5277 alopresti@lopresti.one

Attorneys for Defendant Vitalize Labs, LLC